

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/088,358 | 03/18/2002 | Tara Cutler | MERCK 2388 | 9086 |
| 23599 7: | 590 05/27/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 | | | CALEY, MICHAEL H | |
| | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | | 2871 | • |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/27/200 |)4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/088,358 | CUTLER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Michael H. Caley | 2871 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

| Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | se), or (3) a timely med Request for Continued |
|---|--|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eith | er a) or b)] |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO 706.07(f). | m the mailing date of the final rejection. O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitio have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspo 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for re(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | onding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be f 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to a | iled within the period set forth in void dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration | and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for issues for appeal; and/or | appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspond | ling number of finally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: Claim 21 presents limitation not previously considered which | h raises new issues. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because: | has been considered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not dir raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ected SOLELY to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected | be entered or b) will be entered and an is provided below or appended. |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | and the state of t |
| Claim(s) allowed: 1-19 and 22. | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>20 and 21</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square d | lisapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) |) Paper No(s). |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | |
| | ROBERT H. KIM |
| | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: Examiner notes that U.S. Patent No. 5,798,808 to Van Haaren et al. discloses a chiral liquid crystal compensator having a helical pitch of less than 250 nm.